



10.29.03 41

6p1614

**William L. Kray**  
*Attorney at Law*  
**1771 Helen Drive**  
**Pittsburgh PA 15216**

Intellectual  
Property Law

(412) 343 3305  
fax (412) 343 5517  
WKray@Compuserve.com

Patents  
Trademarks  
Trade Secrets

**RECEIVED**

Mail Stop: Non-Fee Amendment  
Commissioner for Patents  
PO Box 1450  
Alexandria VA 22313-1450

October 28, 2003

NOV 03 2003

TECH CENTER 1600/2900

Re: 10/052,115  
By: Paul Carlson & Ed Nehus  
Filed: 1/17/2002

Art Unit 1614  
Examiner Ostrup, Clinton T.

Sir:

This is in response to the "Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment" mailed October 18, 2003.

Applicants' attorney has carefully reviewed the papers filed August 21, 2003 and is at a loss to understand why the amendment was considered non-compliant. Accordingly, a complete copy of the papers filed August 21, as they appear in applicants' attorney's file, is enclosed, marked as such.

In the Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment mailed October 18, 2003, (copy also attached), Boxes A, B, C, and D of paragraph 4 were marked to indicate certain alleged deficiencies in the papers filed August 21, 2003. These will be treated individually below.

Box A indicates that a complete listing of all the claims was not present. However, as can be seen from the enclosed copy of applicants' attorney's file papers, a complete listing of the claims was present in the last two pages of the submission, headed "Carlson, Nehus & Bell 10/052,115 - claims status." There were 18 claims in the original filing and all 18 claims are listed on the appended sheets.

Box B is marked, indicating that the Examiner did not see the text of all the claims including withdrawn claims. No claims have been withdrawn. The complete text of all the claims except canceled claim 4 is present in the appended list. Canceled claim